DOCUMENT RESUME

02560 - [A1652632]

[Reimbursement for Househunting Trip]. B-188350. June 3, 1977. 3 pp.

Decision re: Collin R. Galloway; by Robert F. Keller, Deputy Comptroller General.

Issue Area: Personnel Hanagement and Compensation: Compensation (305).

Contact: Office of the General Coursel: Civilian Personnel. Budget Punction: General Government: Central Personnel

Management (205).
Organization Concerned: Mining Enforcement and Safety
Administration.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 5724a(a)(2). P.I.R. (FPMR 101-7), para. 2-4.3(c). B-182508 (1975). B-179449 (1973). B-185532 (1976).

Sandra I. Schmick, an Authorized Certifying Officer of the Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration, requested a determination as to whether a claim for reimbursement for the expense of a househunting trip may be authorized for payment. Reimbursement was not allowed without prior authorization. Advice to employee which resulted in his not requesting authorization did not constitute an administrative error allowing for retroactive correction. (Author/SC)

DRCIS



THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

FILE: B-188350

DATE: June 3, 1977

MATTER OF: Collin R. Galloway - Househunting trip

DIGEST: Employee who failed to request authorization for housenunting trip because of advice that reimbursement for such expenses could be requested later may not be reimbursed for househunting trip performed without prior authorization. Administrative errors which may be retroactively corrected to change employee travel benefits are those which relate to failure to follow specific intent of authorizing official regarding prior authorization. Officials here could form no intent to authorize house—hunting expenses since trip was not requested by employee.

. Mr . Galloway was training of Bellevue, Washington, to Spokane, Washington, effective ... 1, 1976. On June 30, 1976, executed an employment in preparation for the relegate agreement and completed a person ansfer travel data form from which his travel authorization was to be prepared. During the period from July 2, 1976, to July 5, 1976, Mr. Gallowsy and his wife traveled to Spokane to seek new residence quarters, Mr. Galloway's travel authorization, issued and signed on July 15, 1976, did not authorize reimbur .- ment for a househunting trip but did buttor. App to 30 days temporary qualities subsidience Mmity with Mr. Galloway's real to for an advance securorary quarters indicated on the travel data form. expensed of fund: MESA officials suspended from . Galloway's original travel claim the amount of \$278.25 claim: ... his househunding trip because it was not authorized in his travel order. In his reclaim Mr. Galloway states that he did not request reimbursament for househunting expenses in his travel data form because he was advised by an AFFG : ... the MESA Spokar office that they did not like to r in th temporary quarters and a househunting

trip and that he could claim househunting expenses later if he did not use temporary quarters. Mr. Galloway contends, in effect, that this advice was an administrative error which may be corrected by retroactively authorizing reimbursement of his house-hunting expenses.

The travel of employees for the purpose of seeking new residence quarters at a new duty station is authorized under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 5724a(a)(2) (1970), implemented in part 2-4 of the Federal Travel Regulations (FTR) (FPMR 101-7) (May 1973). FTR para. 2-4.3(c) provides in pertinent part:

"c. Authorization prior to trip. The trip for finding residence quarters shall not be made at Government expense unless a permanent change of station travel order has been issued which includes authorization for the round trip and mode of transportation and period of time allowed for the trip # # "."

In certain cases we have allowed the payment of expenses for a househunting trip, notwithstanding the absence of prior written authorization, where the employee was verbally authorized by a responsible official of the agency with competent authority before the trip was made and the manner of the travel was in the best interest of the agency. However, in the absence of authorization prior to performance of the trip by an official vested with authority to grant such authorization, we have held that househunting trip expenses may not be reimbursed. B-182505, June 3, 1975.

The question here is whether the advice to Mr. Galloway which caused him not to request authorization of a househunting trip on his travel data form constitutes error of a type which may be construed as an exception to the regulatory requirement that the travel order include authorization for travel to seek residence quarters at the new duty station. In this particular context, administrative errors which may be retroactively corrected to increase or decrease the travel benefits allowed employees are those which relate to a failure to follow the specific intent of the authorizing official with respect to prior authorization. B-179449, November 26, 1973. There is no evidence that the individual who advised Mr. Galloway with respect to his completion of

B-186350

the travel data form had authority to authorize travel expenses. Thus it appears that MESA officials having such authority could form no specific intent with regard to Mr. Galloway's entitlement to a househunting trip at Government expense since they were not requested on the personnel travel rata form to authorize such a trip. Because no authorization, written or otherwise, was made prior to Mr. Galloway's househunting trip, there is no basis upon which reimbursement for the expense of such a trip may be authorized. B-185532, September 21, 1976.

Accordingly, the voucher may not be certified for payment.

Deputy Comptroller General of the United States